

OGC Has Reviewed

16 August 1955

Office of the General Counsel

Acting Chief, Travel Section

25X1A9A

Indirect Travel - [ ]

1. Subject employee was authorized PCS travel for him and dependent wife from [ ] to New York via sea, to Los Angeles via air (home leave) to Washington PCS. 25X1A6A

2. Employee utilized sea transportation to New York and 1st class air from New York to Los Angeles. Upon completion of home leave, employee utilized 1st class family plan from Los Angeles to New York. He elected to leave his wife in New York to live with her mother. Employee traveled via train (alone) New York to D. C.

3. This section held since the portion of travel from Los Angeles to New York was indirect travel, that the employee will be limited to direct cost Los Angeles/D. C. not to exceed actual cost. This is in accordance with [ ] paragraph 5. The employee utilized 1st class family plan on indirect travel, which is the lowest first class accommodation. Consequently, this section limited him to 1st class family plan on the direct comparative cost.

4. The question we should like to have resolved is: If an employee travels indirectly and utilized 1st class family plan, should he be held to 1st class family plan on the regular first class fare for direct comparative cost purposes?

25X1A9A

CONCURRENCE: Per #3 - Family Plan being the lowest first class fare, it is the proper basis for determination of comparative cost of travel.

25X1A9A

[ ]  
Office of the General Counsel